

Remarks.

The Examiner has objected to claim 1 because of the following informalities:

In claim 1 the Examiner has stated that "Aluminum" should be --aluminum-- and "NYLON" should be --nylon--

Accordingly, Applicant has amended claim 1 as suggested by the Examiner.

Claim 1 has been rejected under 35 USC 112 as being indefinite.

More specifically, the Examiner has stated that claim 1 recites "metallic" and "plastic" and that the claim also recites "aluminum" and "nylon". Accordingly, the Examiner has stated that "it is not clear if the claim intends for the member to be metallic or aluminum, or plastic or nylon".

Accordingly, Applicant has amended claim 1 by the deletion of the terms metallic and plastic and has limited the claim to "aluminum" and "nylon" respectively.

Accordingly, Applicant is of the opinion that modified claim 1 is definite and distinctly claims the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. Therefore, Applicant is of the opinion that modified claim 1 should now be allowable.

The Examiner has indicated that claim 14 would also be allowable if rewritten to overcome the Section 112 rejection.

Accordingly, claim 14 is dependent on modified claim 1 and should therefore now be allowable.

Reexamination and reconsideration of the claims as amended is requested.

By this amendment, claim 1 has been amended to overcome the Section 112 rejection. Claim 14 is dependent on modified claim 1.

Claims 1 and 14 remain in this application.

Allowance of claims 1 and 14 is earnestly solicited.

A petition for a one month extension is filed herewith.

Respectfully submitted,



David J. Archer.

Applicant's representative.

Reg No 31,076.